

Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683  
MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.  
300 South First Street, Suite 342  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408) 298-2000  
Facsimile: (408) 298-6046  
Email: service@moorelawfirm.com

Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Jose Trujillo

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOSE TRUJILLO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

BALDOMERO V. CISNEROS dba QUE  
PASA MEXICAN CAFE, et al.;

Defendants.

No. 1:22-cv-00983-BAM

**STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE  
OF MANDATORY SCHEDULING  
CONFERENCE; ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**

**WHEREAS**, a Mandatory Scheduling Conference in this matter is currently scheduled for January 23, 2023, having been continued once previously from November 10, 2022 by the Court to allow the parties time to complete the site inspection and engage in settlement discussions;

**WHEREAS**, as discussed at the November 10, 2022 Mandatory Scheduling Conference, Plaintiff Jose Trujillo ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Baldomero V. Cisneros dba Que Pasa Mexican Café and Heiskell Ranches, L.P. ("Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, "the Parties"), the parties to this action, have been engaged in settlement discussions, whereby Plaintiff had duly noticed an inspection of the property that is the subject of this Americans with

STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE OF MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE;  
~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Disabilities Act Title III action, which inspection was scheduled to take place on December 9, 2022;

**WHEREAS**, Plaintiff's inspection of the subject property was subsequently continued from December 9, 2022 to February 8, 2023 on the request of Defendant Baldomero V. Cisneros dba Que Pasa Mexican Café due to an unforeseen emergency;

**WHEREAS**, in furtherance of settlement discussions the Parties have agreed that following Plaintiff's inspection on February 8, 2023 and upon receipt of Plaintiff's consultant's findings, he will provide a proposed settlement agreement to Defendants which will identify all injunctive relief he is seeking;

**WHEREAS**, the Parties will thereafter continue to engage in good faith settlement discussions for the purpose of resolving Plaintiff's claims and are optimistic that this matter can be resolved amicably; and

**WHEREAS**, in the meantime the Parties wish to conserve the Court's time and resources, as well as the Parties' resources in order to promote settlement;

**NOW, THEREFORE**, the Parties stipulate and jointly request that the Mandatory Scheduling Conference currently scheduled for January 23, 2023 be continued to a date on or after March 23, 2023 at the Court's convenience.

**IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

Dated: January 16, 2023

MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.

/s/ Tanya E. Moore

Tanya E. Moore  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Jose Trujillo

Dated: January 17, 2023

CLIFFORD & BROWN

/s/ William A. Bruce

William A. Bruce  
Attorneys for Defendant,  
Baldomero V. Cisneros dba Que Pasa Mexican Cafe

STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE OF MANDATORY SCHEDULING CONFERENCE;

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

Dated: January 16, 2023

McCORMICK, KABOT & LEW APC.

/s/ Chad M. Lew

Chad M. Lew

Attorneys for Defendant,  
Heiskell Ranches, L.P.

**ORDER**

The parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Mandatory Scheduling Conference currently set for January 23, 2023 is continued to **April 6, 2023 at 9:30 AM in Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe**. The parties are to file their updated Joint Scheduling Report including an update on settlement efforts no later than seven days prior to the Mandatory Scheduling Conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 18, 2023**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE